

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,205	06/27/2003	Dimitry Grabbe	24855-904	7633
7590 04/15/2004			EXAM	INER
JoAnn Dilloway Barley, Snyder, Senft & Cohen, LLC 126 East King Street			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Lancaster, PA			2839	
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/608,205	GRABBE, DIMITRY		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
<del></del>		Chandrika Prasad	2839		
۔ Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation</li> <li>Reply</li> </ul>	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  ys will be considered timely. It he mailing date of this communication.  CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	<u>une 2003</u> .			
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicatio 9)⊠ 1	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 5-15 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicati Inity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment	`				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/27/03</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	r (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/608,205 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sizer, II et al. (5430819).

Sizer (Figures 1-9) shows an array ferrule for an optical fiber connector having a main body 202 with fiber receiving cavities for accommodating a plurality of fibers, a pair of pin slots 204, 205 for pins 206, 207 formed on opposite sidewalls and retention member slots in communication with the pin slots wherein an encapsulant 703 is used around the fibers to fill the fiber receiving cavity and retention members 211, 212 are secured in the slots 204, 205 to engage the pins.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,205 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

5. Claims 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sizer, II et al (5430819).

Sizer shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 3 above except the use of a metallic material for the encapsulant. Metallic encapsulants are well known in the art of connectors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use metallic encapsulant because it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 5-15 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior art does not show a method of making and assembly process involving a plurality of combs for accurately positioning a plurality of optical fibers in combination with other elements for an optical fiber ferrule as required by claims 5 and 9.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shahid et al. (5519798), Kiani et al. (20030044123), Ngo (6450697), Bonanni (4818058), Stuelpnagel et al. (6396995), Wiener (5280558) and Dannoux et al. (5447585).

Art Unit: 2839

#### Contact Information

9. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner April 8, 2004